

# **Thesis Defence Report**

The Neutral Chair of the Examining Committee is responsible for completion of this form. After all committee members present have signed, the form should be returned to the Program Coordinator.

## **Student Identification**

ame:	
rogram:	
hesis title:	
ate of defence:	

### **Decision of the Examining Committee**

- **The thesis is acceptable as is, or with minor revisions.** A majority vote is required.
- **D** The thesis is acceptable, but major revisions are required. A majority vote is required.
- □ The thesis is unacceptable. Written comments must be provided to explain this decision. A unanimous vote is required.

See reverse side for explanation of terms.

	Name (please print)	Signature
Primary Supervisor		
Co-supervisor (if applicable)		
Supervisory Committee Member		
Supervisory Committee Member		
Supervisory Committee Member		
External Examiner		
Neutral Chair (non-voting)		



#### **EXPLANATION OF TERMS:**

**Minor Revisions:** Minor revisions are corrections that can be made immediately to the satisfaction of the Supervisor. Minor revisions may include:

- Typographical or grammatical errors
- Missing footnotes
- Formatting issues
- Need for further discussion or elaboration in a few areas
- Incorporating a glossary of acronyms or terms
- Changes to the Appendices
- Incomplete references
- Need for minor clarification of content

Procedure regarding minor revisions:

- 1. The Supervisor will communicate all recommended revisions to the candidate and inform the Program Coordinator when all corrections have been completed.
- 2. The Program Coordinator will complete the Graduation Approval form.

**Major Revisions:** Major revisions are corrections requiring further research, structural changes, or other substantive revisions. These revisions will require more time to complete and may require re-reading by the external examiner. Major revisions may include:

- Significant technical errors or inaccuracies
- Misinterpretation and/or misuse of material
- Omission of relevant materials
- Unsubstantiated findings or conclusions
- Improper data analysis
- Major flaws in grammar and presentation
- Faulty research methodology
- Lack of scholarly context
- Need to rewrite substantial portions to strengthen the thesis

Procedure regarding major revisions:

- 1. The Supervisor will prepare a written summary of the recommended revisions for distribution to the Supervisory Committee and the candidate.
- 2. The candidate will make the revisions and prepare a written synopsis indicating how the concerns were addressed.
- 3. The Supervisor will forward the revisions and synopsis to the Supervisory Committee and/or the External Examiner, and inform the Program Coordinator when all corrections have been completed.
- 4. The Program Coordinator will complete the Graduation Approval form.

#### **Unacceptable Thesis**

Procedure regarding unacceptable thesis:

- 1. Written comments must be provided to explain this decision. A unanimous vote is required.
- 2. The Supervisor, Supervisory Committee, and the candidate will develop a plan for resubmission and defence of the thesis.
- 3. A thesis may be re-examined only once.